Prescribed

App F IX 4

Previous References

1928-29
(Incl)

File No 156-28
Serial Nos 3, 6, 7

Later References
Incl 132-31. /
" 265-33(14)

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos 3

Part B for Nov 33

SUBJECT Education
Re the recovery of Contribution due by the Anekal Municipality

---

12 33

L 3408

App F III–2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 156 of 1928

Serial No	
3	Letter - dated 28th January 1929 from Mr B Srinivasa Iyengar Anekal addressed to the Second member of Council, requesting that early orders may be passed in regard to the recovery of contribution from the Anekal municipality towards primary Education, in view of the slender finances of the municipality please let me have the file.
1	Secretary to members ordinary up to 31st C S B 30
	The previous letter of 14th Nov 1928 referred to in the letter has been worked up in the Edn section of the Dev Secretariat along with the general question. They may be requested to note the stage at which the question stands at present and to put up the previous papers, if required by us.
	5/2/29 SD Dy Dir
	Edn file 607 of 28 Circulating Mr Srinivasa Iyengars letter of 14th Nov 1928 is handed hereto for reference & return when done with
	7/2 14/2 dsgB)
	Submitted for orders. He may be informed that his letter is receiving attention
	14/2
	15/2 A Knight - ~~Draft~~
	~~Mr B Srinivasa~~
	Draft letter is submitted 15/2
	15/2 16/2

DEV & REV SECRETARIATS 6518 7 FEB 29 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

NOTE—Co to sheet

WD 0 1—GPB—30 000—21 8 28

Serial No

The Director of Public Instn has urged the adjustment of the dues of the Medical Medical has pleaded poverty of the Council to pay & says that the credit of the Hospital on 1/1/29 at the Treasury was Rs 11 and the D.C has been requested & some funds to days for the school funds final orders as to recovery of reference has been received from the D.C The Secretary has noted that the question must await disposal of the general question of finance The Secretary should mention keep the recovery in abeyance There is no use of reply that the matter is engaging the attention of Govt There is no money available with the Council to pay & the Dept wants money The Council should not have drawn money from the Treasy without keeping minimum Cash balance Now the Treasy allows the drawal of money by the Council has also to be examined. In the meantime, the order to recover has perhaps to be delayed

Yes 2nd Member

20/1/29

9) CSB 1/2/29

Linked file

OFFICE OF THE
S M CO IL
10 FEB 1929
No 62-69

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

3964 L

Legislative 8

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mul File No 156 of 1928

Serial No	
6	Submitted with a draft for approval 83 4/8/29 RS 11) Issue GR 4/8/29
6	Issued no L 5911 dy 5/3/29 To the Director of Public Instruction in Mysore Requests him to keep the recovery in abeyance pending disposal of the general question of Educational finance
7	Letter no Cr-4557/27-28 dated 27 th February 1929 from the Deputy commr Bangalore District, stating on the same subject The Dy commr states that the Director of Public instruction has replied that orders to the Treasury officer regarding the recovery of the amount will be kept in abeyance till the end of May 1929 The Dc further recommends in view of the slender financial conditions of the ryot the representation praying for exemption from paying the contribution including the amount already drawn deserves sympathetic consideration Submitted for orders C Rajagopalan 9/4 83 RK 5/3/29 Second Member GR 6/3/29

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF COUNCIL
11 MAR. 1929
No 6689

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01714—GPB 30 000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No

These small levies from certain Municipalities were ordered some decades ago on principle that have long ceased to have any force or application The receivers are in fact right to Octroi in the towns now that Octroi has been abolished as a Govt levy it is but right that these petty exactions should be given up

All the more so that the levy is not on any uniform basis, that all municipalities do not pay, the poor municipalities pay something – which they can ill afford – & the richer municipalities escape all payment I think the total amount ~~not~~ involved is not large but it is unnecessary to mix up this small matter with the large & complicated question of Govt finance. If on consideration of Govt finance it is necessary that municipal bodies should bear a share of the Govt expenditure, let it be laid down separately & given effect to in ch cases

Let not the anomalous levy for which there is neither rhyme nor reason now, continue

Please submit to Govt Members

LDSwa

WD 021—GPB—30 000—21 8 28

Serial No

10770 14/4

3rd Member

Dewan.

Sir 9 4

I should like the views of the Trustees on the proposal from the Deputy Commissioner to levy the Cess

by 8-4-29

5876 16/4

R3702 R15/4/29

20 Fin Secy with compliments

Fin 15-4-29

CONTROLLERS OFFICE L A 9 16 APR 1929 M G 463 Spl. BANGALORE

To complete with Compliments the favour of furnishing information as to (1) the contributions now levied from Municipalities towards Primary education (2) the authority for the levy in each case—

L 16-4-29

No 685 FAD 8 11 5 29

Returned with Compliments

The details regarding these Contributions are found in G.O. Comp No 11-20 Ag 116-93 dated 12 10 1894 The total amount levied is Rs 12,0 82/- and the amount does not seem to have been changed in any later order

Sd/- 10/5

W T S L for w wants ord

no 2 o 442/pl 16/5/29

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No

Ag S
17 5 19

7L Secy) -

The aggregate amount payable as con- tribution by the several municipalities specified in the order of 12th Oct 1894 was -

Rs 12 082 + 3 288 = 15 370 per annum -

Out of the 87 municipalities required to con- tribute towards the cost of primary education, 2 eleven are reported to be bankrupt & have ceased to be municipalities and the claim against them for contribution has automatically lapsed -

There are thus about 76 municipalities against whom the demand is now being made and the amount of such of recovery is Rs 12000 -

From an examination of the actuals of the past four years I find that the amount re- covered in 26 27 was about Rs 3 400 & in 27 28 Rs 19 400 - The realisation in 28 29 as far Revised is much was Rs 10000

If the levy of the Contribution be abolished, the additional charge on general revenues will be about Rs 10000 per annum (on the average).

While it must be conceded that there is a force in the argument for relieving the Contribution from the municipalities in question, now being levied on the au- thority of the Act of 1894, the fact remains that Govt are not in a position to make good the de- ficiency in the funds now allotted for Primary Education, without withdrawing grants from other

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No

services - There is no margin in the Revenue budget- from hm to cover the gaps-

The question of appending relief to the Revenue -payers by way of abolishing the levy may I think be considered at the time of next year budget

If it is considered desirable to give effect to the proposal immediately, the Edn Secretary will have to be asked to meet the deficit by reappropriation of sanctioned grants or by re-ducing the allotment for expansion of pre-matern in rural areas (Rs 25000), to the extent required to make up the loss

L
10 8 29

1728/14/8

Hon'ble Member

14/8

Subsequent to the second Member's minute of 6-8-29 & my note of 10-8-29 the same (or a similar) question was considered in Council when it was provisionally resolved not to remit the Edn Cess contributions but to require all Municipalities to pay a third of the levy (as from May Edn in their areas

L S S
Please note the drag of this other file & note accordingly
Sec
15/8

15-8

from file Rl 235-28 Sec
Information called for as to
the financial effect
[illegible]

W D 01684—GPB—30 000—20 4 29

Serial No	
	Information regarding the probable realisation under Education Cess in the several Municipalities in case the cess is levied at the maximum rate assessable under the Act as also the financial effect thereof has been called for from the Collectors and the same is being collected
	83 DS 11/9/29 Submitted with reference to 3rd Member's minute dated 15th Aug 1929
	Orders on the general file may be awaited
	DS 11/12/29
	Yes the offices from whom replies are due should be reminded for expediting their replies
	13/9
	Submitted draft DO reminders for 14/9
14	Letter No Mis C 269/29-30 dated 6/10/29 from the Director Municipal Administration, furnishing information regarding the probable realisations under Education Cess in the several Municipalities

Attached draft letters to Collectors

Note—Comm Sheet

WD 11684—GIPE—50 000—29-4-29

... have been
... to forward their inability
pay the unjustifiable and arbitrary levy
I therefore earnestly pray that you may
please be pleased to pass early orders on the matter
In the meanwhile the Municipal
Council has requested the Deputy Commissioner
Mullaitivu District to move Government to
stay further action on the matter of Recovery
Contribution pending its gracious orders
I may here mention that the
balance on the Treasury at the credit of
the Municipal Council on 1/1/1929 is Rs 11/-



Thanking you
I remain
Yours Very Obediently
Sgd Veerasingham
To Rajanayagapranam Hon. Vice President
C S Balasundaram Aiyar Esquire BA
Secretary Member of Council
Mullaitivu



Office of the Municipal
Mullaitivu
28th January 19

Mul 156-28-3

Dear Sir

In forwarding your kind reply
to my letter of 14th November 1928, re
the levy of Municipal Contribution towa
primary Education, I beg to enclose here
for your kind perusal letters received
from the Financial Branch of the Office
of the Director of Public Instruction deman
immediate payment of the annual
Contribution from this Municipality
The tone of the letters and
method proposed to be adopted to rec
the amounts if not paid before 28th
and 31st March, have affected the even
temper

Office of the Director of Public Instruction in Mysore -
Financial Branch

Bangalore dated 12 1 1929

From

The Director of Public Instruction
Financial Branch Bangalore

To

The President
Municipal council Anekal

Sir,

I have the honor to invite your reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter No 2-11 dated 7 8 28 regarding the payment of Contribution due by the Municipality towards Primary Education and to request that a cheque for Rs 348-13-0 may be issued before the 20th February 1929 The Treasury Officer has been instructed to debit a sum of Rs 348-13- in your pass book in case he does not receive the cheque on or before that date-

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

(Sd) V. Narayana Iyengar
Financial Assistant

"CVV"

True Copy
Vice President

Office of the Director of
Instruction in Mysore
Financial Branch
Bangalore 18/129

ML 11

From

The Director of Public Instruction
in Mysore
Bangalore

To

The President Municipal Council
Anekal

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the marginally noted contribution due by the municipality towards primary Education has not been paid till now and to request you to be so good as to arrange to remit the Amount before

490/- for 28/29 -

31st march 1929 and will make the
back to this Office Reference is also
invited to Article 243 (3) of M°AC
Volume I

I have the honour to
Sir
Your most obedient
Servant

For Accountant General

True copy

Anekal
28-1-29

Queen Mansion
for the Accountant General

* VL. GI]

BJECT

Section ml

File No 156-28

Serial No 4

No S 5 911

iary No of letter replied to L 3426-28

General and Revenue Secretariat

(ml)

the *192*

To The Director of Public Instruction
in Mysore
Bangalore

late of issue 5/3/1929

Sir

Signed by Mr. D R S Iyer

With reference to your letter no JL 1039 dt 12/1/29 to the President Town mvl Anekal regarding recovery of the contribution due by the Municipality towards Primary Education I am directed to request you to be so good as to keep the recovery in abeyance pending disposal of the general question of Educational finance over there etc

I i t of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD0325—GPB—30 000—26 27

for General W Secy to Govt

Memo -

Cashier's Office of Treasury is forwarding with compliments to the Comptroller to Govt memo a request to intimate how the Treasury officers are to deal to draw the amount, without keeping required minimum cash balances

For General Secy to Govt

Mr 136-28-B.

No C2-4557/ 7- C
Enc one

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Bangalore, dated the 27th February 1929

From

G P Hebblethwaite Esq B A LL B
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

3964

L S G Branch

To

K Ramachandra Rao, Esq B A B L,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore

Sir

Subject - Recovery of education contribution from Nekal Municipality

In forwarding herewith a copy of the report No 1/ dated 20th January 1929 received from the President Municipal Council Arkalgud stating that the Education Department has asked the treasury officer to debit the amount to the Municipality if the latter does not pay the same by the 20th instant and requesting that the Education Department may be addressed in the matter of keeping the order of recovery by such method in abeyance, pending orders of Government in the matter of exemption the Municipality from payment I have the honour to state that, on addressing the Director of Public Instruction in Mysore in this connection the latter has replied that the demands to the treasury officers will be kept in abeyance till the end of May 1929 by which time he expects that the Government will pass orders in the matter I think that considering the present financial condition and the poor resources of the Municipality the representation of the Municipality in the matter to exempt it from paying the contribution including the amount already due deserves sympathetic consideration by Government I request you to kindly obtain early orders of Government in the matter

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

G P Hebblethwaite

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Letter No 1[illegible] dated 5th January 19[illegible] from the President, Anekal Town Municipal Council to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

---

In continuation of the office nos 2[illegible] dated 10-1-1929 and 10 dated 15-11-28 herein it was clearly represented that the small municipalities in the Bangalore District particularly this municipality was subjected to very great hardship to raise funds out of the ordinary municipal taxes for the enormously disproportionate contribution towards the cost of primary education in the town - tentatively fixed during the year 1929-30 at the same time many other municipalities in the State have been contributing not according to their contributions I have to request a perusal of the correspondence herein for your kind perusal copy of letter no 1[illegible]C39 dated 12-1-29 and no 11[illegible] dated 18-1-29 from the Director of Public Instruction Financial Branch peremptorily demanding the immediate payment of the usual annual contribution towards primary education in fact that the municipality has been protesting its inability to pay the inequitable levy and that matter is before Government awaiting its orders

I may [illegible] that the [illegible] -- Director of Public Instruction Financial Branch has informed the Municipal Council of the method he is going to adopt to recover the contribution by the 20th February next is not complimentary to a local body which has patiently borne the inequitable contribution year after year for a long period of years and trusting that the Government would come to the rescue and suggest proposals to obviate the levy

So that the matter is before Government I request you to kindly move the Government to stop further action by the Department of Public Instruction in the matter of its insistence to recover the annual contribution until Government in course of passing the annual allocations to municipalities have given financial credit to the municipality The balance at the credit of the municipality in the treasury is only Rs 11/- on 1-1-29

" COPY "

[signature]

C

DI[illegible]

Letter No.E.648/Edn.279-30-10 dated 9th August 1933 from General Secretary to the Government of Mysore to the Director of Public Instruction in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.Spl.6811-9 of 29-30 dated the 9th January 1931, regarding the financial relations between the Municipal Councils which are not local education authorities and the District Boards, I am directed to state that by the amendments made by Regulation V of 1933, the District Boards have jurisdiction throughout the District, except over such areas, as are under the Municipal Councils which are themselves Local Education Authorities under the Regulation. As such, the District Boards can, under section 11 of the Elementary Education Regulation, levy the Education cess in areas under the Municipal Councils which are not local education authorities. Again with the amendment of Section 49 (2) of the Elementary Education Regulation made by Regulation V of 1933, it is clear that the Education Cess levied by Municipal Councils which are not local education authorities is bound to be continued at the same rates, on the same items of revenue or tax and appropriated in the same manner as heretofore. If the Cess thus realised is inadequate, proposals for abolishing the levy continued und r Section 49 may be sent for sanction of Government along with proposals for the exercise by the Local Education Authority of their powers under Section 11 of the Elementary Education Regulation.

I am further to point out that it is not incumbent under the Regulation that the Education Cess Collections in any municipal areas should be spent entirely for the benefit of that area, as Section 14 of the Regulation enables the Local Education Authorities to spend its funds within the entire area under its jurisdiction.

As regards the contributions now being made by certain Municipal Councils under the Government Order of 1894., I am to state that proposals regarding their abolition need not be considered until the Local Education Authorities resort to the levy of

of Education Cess in these Municipalities under the powers vested in them under Section 11.

C/S
16/11/93